Public Document Pack

Executive Decision Records

Please find set out below a number of Executive Decisions taken at the HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment Decision Day, Executive Member for Highways Operations Decision Day and Executive Member for Climate Change and Sustainability Decision Day held virtually on Microsoft Teams on Thursday, 29th July, 2021

- 1. SUB-NATIONAL AND SUB-REGIONAL TRANSPORT PLANNING
- 2. ETE CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2020/21 END OF YEAR & QUARTER 1 2021/22
- 3. HOUSEHOLD WASTE RECYCLING CENTRES PROVISION IN NORTH HAMPSHIRE
- 4. APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES
- 5. ACTIVE TRAVEL FUND TRANCHE 2 PROGRAMME
- 6. **BUS GATE EAST ANTON ANDOVER**
- 7. PROJECT APPRAISAL: EASTLEIGH AREA BUS INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS
- 8. CHANGES TO THE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PERMIT SCHEME
- 9. HAMPSHIRE HIGHWAYS SERVICE UPDATE
- 10. HIGHWAYS POLICY FRAMEWORK TO SUPPORT BUSINESS RECOVERY
- 11. ENVIRONMENT STRATEGY



Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker: Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment	
Date: 29 July 2021	
Title: Sub-National and Sub-Regional Transport Planning	
Report From: Director of Economy, Transport and Environment	

Contact name: Dominic McGrath

Tel: 0370 779 3710 Email: dominic.mcgrath@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1 That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment notes the updates in this report on various work streams being undertaken by regional and sub-regional transport bodies.
- 1.2 That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport, and Environment approves the Solent Transport Business Plan for 2021-22, as set out in appendix A to the report.
- 1.3 That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport, and Environment notes the key priorities for the Transport for the South East (TfSE) Business Plan for 2021-22, as set out in the report.
- 1.4 That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport, and Environment notes the programme for consultation on the forthcoming TfSE Strategic Investment Plan (SIP) and requests that TfSE ensures that there is adequate time for constituent authorities to approve the plan between the completion of consultation and adoption of the SIP.
- 1.5 That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport, and Environment agrees the Interim Transport Policy Statements set out in the report, to shape and guide engagement on current regional transport work.

2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. Hampshire County Council is a member of or participates in several sub regional transport forums. They include Solent Transport, a Joint Committee of the four Solent Local Transport Authorities, Transport for the South East (a transport partnership covering the South East Region) and Western Gateway (a partnership covering the South West Region). The latter two forums are seeking to become Sub National Transport Bodies. The report and its recommendations all aim to give effective oversight and agreement to the work being undertaken by these transport forums.

- 3. Other options considered and rejected:
- 3.1. The option of disengaging with regional transport planning work has been considered and rejected. The work is key to establishing future investment priorities at the regional level, and disengaging would prejudice future investment in Hampshire's transport networks.
- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: None
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	29 July 2021
Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment Councillor Rob Humby	

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment
Date : 29 July 2021	
Title: ETE Capital Programme Year End 2020/21 and Qu 2021/22	
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Maria Golley

Tel: 0370 779 0492 Email: maria.golley@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1. That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment notes the performance made in the Economy, Transport and Environment capital programme in 2020/21, under difficult circumstances, and progress made to date in the 2021/22 capital programme.
- 1.2. That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment approves the adjustment of the 2021/22 Structural Maintenance programme to £65.453 million with the split of £61.453 million allocated to the Structural Planned Maintenance sub-programme and £4 million to the Bridges sub-programme.
- 1.3. That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment approves the addition of the Andover Road Signalised Pedestrian Crossing scheme in the 2021/22 capital programme at a value of £0.26 million.
- 1.4. That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment approves the addition of the Eastleigh Area Public Transport Infrastructure works scheme in the 2021/22 capital programme at a value of £0.416 million.

2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1. The 2020/21 ETE capital programme outcome position is now known, and progress has been made in the 2021/22 ETE capital programme.
- 2.2. Budget adjustments due both to 2020/21 carry forwards and adjustments to the highways maintenance block fund, pothole and incentive grants from forecast, result in the Structural Maintenance 2021/22 programme increasing to £65.453 million.
- 2.3. The Andover Road Signalised Pedestrian Crossing scheme has progressed to the position that it now needs to enter the capital programme.

2.4.	. The Eastleigh Area Public Transport Infrastructure works scheme needs to
	enter the capital programme so that work can progress on delivering the
	improvements.

- 3. Other options considered and rejected:
- 3.1. To not propose these recommendations, however this could result in the capital programme not being optimally managed.
- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: None
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	29 July 2021
Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment Councillor Rob Humby	

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment
Date:	29 July 2021
Title:	Household Waste Recycling Centre Provision in North Hampshire
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Paul Laughlin

Tel: 07899 060248 Email: paul.laughlin@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1 That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment notes the findings of the feasibility studies into two potential sites for a new Household Waste Recycling Centre (HWRC) in north Hampshire and accepts the conclusion that the sites are not suitable for development, for economic and environmental reasons, and therefore will not be taken forward.
- 1.2 That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment notes the option under consideration by West Berkshire Council to allow access to the HWRCs in Newtown Road and Padworth to non-Berkshire residents and apply a charge for such access.
- 1.3 That the Executive Lead Member of Economy, Transport and Environment delegates authority to the Director for Economy, Transport and Environment, in consultation with the Executive Member, to contribute County Council resources to West Berkshire Council to support the installation of required systems at the named HWRCs to facilitate the charging scheme outlined above.
- 1.4 That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment acknowledges the decision previously taken by the Executive Member for Policy and Resources on 29 July 2020, providing temporary access for specified Hampshire residents to the Newtown Road HWRC while the above feasibility studies and negotiations were conducted, and confirms that on the

basis of the recommendations above, the existing transitional arrangement will cease as planned on 31 July 2021.

2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. To update the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment on the outcome of the feasibility studies on two sites for constructing a new Hampshire-run HWRC in the local area, which have not proved suitable for economic and environmental reasons, and therefore the transitional period will not be extended.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

- 3.1. Taking either of the feasibility studies forward to build a new HWRC in the Kingsclere area is not feasible due to the constraints and risks associated with both sites, and the likely costs, which would outweigh the benefits of developing either site.
- 3.2. Hampshire County Council to further extend the transitional arrangement for full subsidisation of the cost of north Hampshire residents using Newtown Road HWRC at up to £175,000 per annum was considered. This was rejected as the transition period was to allow for feasibility studies to be completed on potential alternative sites. This work has been completed and indicated no potential for developing an HWRC on the sites and therefore the transitional period has reached its conclusion.

4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	29 July 2021
Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment Councillor Rob Humby	



Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment	
Date of Decision:	29 July 2021	
Decision Title: Appointments to Outside Bodies		
Report From:	Director of Transformation and Governance - Corporate Services	

Contact name: Katy Sherwood

Tel: 01962 847347 Email: katy.sherwood@hants.gov.uk

1. The Decision:

a) That the Executive Member for Environment and Transport be requested to make appointments to the Statutory Joint Committees and Outside Bodies as detailed below. The term of office to expire in May 2025.

OUTSIDE BODIES AND OTHER ORGANISATIONS

	Name of Body	<u>Description</u>	Previous reps	Appointment(s) until May 2021
1.	Barton Farm Development Forum 2 + (2 deputies)	The purpose of the Barton Farm Development Forum is to assist in the establishment of a successful new community by providing an opportunity for the public discussion of issues and the provision of purposeful guidance.	Warwick (Stallard) Tod (Porter)	Warwick (Stallard) Tod (Porter)
2.	Blackbushe Airport Consultative Committee 1 + (1 deputy)	The Committee looks at how the airport is run and works with the community	Simpson (Collett)	Davies (Collett)
3.	Bournemouth Airport Consultative Committee	The Committee looks at how the airport is run and works with the community	Penman	Penman
4.	Bus Lane Adjudication Service Joint Committee 1	The Bus Lane Adjudication Joint Committee has been established to provide all councils having the power to undertake civil parking enforcement of bus lane contraventions to exercise their function under Regulation 11 of the Bus Lane Contraventions (Penalty Charge Adjudication and Enforcement)	G Burgess (same as PATROL)	G Burgess (same as PATROL)

			T	1
		(England) Regulations 2005. These functions are exercised through the Joint Committee in accordance with Regulation 12 of The Bus Lane Contravention (Penalty Charge, Adjudication Enforcement) (England) Regulations 2005.		
5.	Central Hampshire Road Safety Council 4 (1 per district)	To promote and encourage road safety education, training and publicity, encourage and co-ordinate local groups, initiate and promote local road safety campaigns.	Huxstep (Ch). Grajewski, Brooks, Rippon- Swaine	Adams-King Mocatta Drew Pankhurst
6.	Community Rail Partnership - Lymington to Brockenhurst 1	The Lymington-Brockenhurst Community Rail Partnership (LB CRP) was launched in July 2008 with formal designation granted from the DfT on the same day as the lines 150th Anniversary. The partnership's stakeholders are South West Trains, Hampshire County Council, New Forest District Council, New Forest National Parks Authority, Lymington and Pennington Town Council, Brockenhurst Parish Council, Wightlink and the Chamber of Commerce. However due to successful projects and partnership working, the CRP have expanded its executive membership to include the Police and Brockenhurst College.	Kendal	Dunning
7.	Cranborne Chase and West Wiltshire Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Partnership Panel 1	There have always been historical, natural and social links between the valley and the Chase downland which the LP scheme will explore and reinforce. A broad range of activities will allow individuals, communities and new audiences to help conserve, enhance, understand and learn about this unique heritage and to become the beating heart of the Cranborne Chase itself.	Heron	Heron
8.	Farnborough Aerodrome Consultative Committee 1	The Committee interacts with local public agencies, residential communities and with Airport users on a range of environmental and other airport issues.	Choudhary	R. Cooper
9.	Langstone Harbour Board 1	The Langstone Harbour Board works to ensure the harbour remains a safe place for work and leisure, as well as an area rich in plant and animal life.	Quantrill	Quantrill
10	New Forest Business Partnership 1	The New Forest Business Partnership supports all New Forest businesses large and small in all sectors and increases the opportunities for businesses to grow.	McEvoy	McEvoy
11	North of Whiteley	The Forum is to act as an informal advisory body to discuss and engage	Woodward	Woodward

	Development Forum 2 + (1 deputy)	with the public on various issues, and advise the relevant authorities accordingly		Lumby (Briggs)
12		This comprises representatives of the nine local authorities that have a responsibility for the area, with representatives of Natural England, community and parish, farming and rural business, historic environment, nature conservation, rural recreation and tourism.	McNair- Scott (Vaughan)	Henderson (Vaughan)
13	Northern Hampshire Road Safety Council 3 (1 per district)	To promote and encourage road safety education, training and publicity, encourage and co-ordinate local groups, initiate and promote local road safety campaigns.	Chadd Forster Vacancy	Chadd Forster Jackman
14	PATROL (Parking and Traffic Regulations Outside London) Adjudication Joint Committee 1	The PATROL Adjudication Joint Committee has been established to enable all Councils having Civil Enforcement Area Orders, enabling them to carry out civil enforcement of parking contraventions, to exercise their functions under Section 81 of the Traffic Management Act 2004 and Regulations 17 of the Civil Enforcement of Parking Contraventions (England) General Regulations 2007. These functions are exercised through the Joint Committee in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 16 of the Civil Enforcement of Parking Contraventions (England) General Regulations 2007.	Burgess (same as Bus Lane Adjudication JC)	Burgess
15	Project Integra – Strategic Board 1 + (1)	A partnership body consisting of all local authorities in Hampshire to deal with waste management in the county.	Humby	Warwick (Humby being appt. as deputy at P&R DDay)
16	Public Transport Consortium 2	The group promotes public transport issues on behalf of local authorities outside of metropolitan areas, supporting effective local decisions on public transport for the benefit of local citizens.	Forster Hockley	Forster Oppenheimer
17	Queen Elizabeth II Barracks Transport Contributions Steering Group 1	This is a group set up by the local community (Parish, County and District Councillors) following the QE2 Barracks development. They are an advisory body only and were set up to comment on the allocation of the S106 transport contributions. The group is administered by Hart DC	Withers	Withers
18	River Wey Trust Management Committee 1	To carry out work and research on the River Wey	Opppenhei mer	Curnow-Ford
19	Solent Forum	The Solent Forum is an independent coastal partnership, established in	Burgess	Bains

	2	1992 to develop a greater	Driggs	Driggo
	2	1992 to develop a greater understanding among the many local and harbour authorities, user groups, marine businesses and agencies involved in planning and management of the Solent. It assists and advises them in carrying out their functions.	Briggs	Briggs
20	Southampton International Airport Consultative Committee 2	The purpose of the Committee is to act as a means of consultation in relation to Southampton International Airport Limited in accordance with the provisions of Section 35 of the Civil Aviation Act 1982 or any statutory modification or re-enactment thereof.	Mellor Vacancy	Mellor Irish
21	Southern Hampshire Road Safety Council 4 (1 per district)	To promote and encourage road safety education, training and publicity, encourage and co-ordinate local groups, initiate and promote local road safety campaigns.	Harvey Branson Vacancy Vacancy	Harvey Branson Bowerman Bryant
22	Southern Regional Flood and Coastal Committee (SRFCC) 2 (+ deputy)	The Regional Flood and Coastal Committee (RFCC) is a committee established by the Environment Agency under the Flood and Water Management Act 2010 that brings together members appointed by Lead Local Flood Authorities (LLFAs) and independent members with relevant experience.	Quantrill Warwick (Vacancy)	Quantrill Warwick (Dunning)
23	Strategic Aviation Special Interest Group 1	Hampshire County Council benefits from the involvement regarding access arrangements to airports including those within Hampshire as well as major hubs outside like Gatwick and Heathrow.	Mellor	R. Cooper
24	Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area Joint Strategic Partnership Board 1	The SPA extends over 11 local planning authorities in Surrey, Berkshire and Hampshire and comprises a network of 13 Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) of predominantly lowland heathland and woodland. The TBH SPA is designated because of the presence of breeding populations of Dartford Warblers, Woodlarks and Nightjars.	Glen	Glen
25	Thames Regional Flood and Coastal Committee 1 (+ deputy)	The Regional Flood and Coastal Committee (RFCC) is a committee established by the Environment Agency under the Flood and Water Management Act 2010 that brings together members appointed by Lead Local Flood Authorities (LLFAs) and independent members.	Warwick (Vacancy)	Warwick (Parker)
	Wellesley Residents Trust 1	A management company responsible for ensuring Wellesley stays a beautiful place to live.	Choudhary	Crawford
27	Wessex Regional Flood and Coastal Committee 1 (+ deputy)	The Regional Flood and Coastal Committee (RFCC) is a committee established by the Environment Agency under the Flood and Water Management Act 2010 that brings together members appointed by Lead	Warwick (Vacancy)	Drew (Broomfield)

		Local Flood Authorities (LLFAs) and		
28	West of Waterlooville Forum 2 + (1 deputy)	independent members. To comment and advise on the next stages of the implementation of the West of Waterlooville master plan, in particular major elements of community infrastructure, to ensure the success of the community development activities undertaken within the development area and advise on how these should progress and to secure the establishment of appropriate local democratic structures for the emerging community that will take responsibility for representing the area from April 2016.	Hughes Stallard (Briggs)	Hughes Stallard (Briggs)
29	Whitehill and Bordon Town Partnership Committee 1	Develop Whitehill and Bordon into a thriving, active community, offering facilities in keeping with the size of the population, to include recreational, leisure, cultural, educational and employment opportunities, whilst making the best use of the environmental assets of the area	Carew	Curnow-Ford
30	Winchester Action on Climate Change (WinACC) 1	Winchester Action on Climate Change (WinACC) works to cut the carbon footprint of Winchester district. We are local residents, businesses and policy-makers, working together to tread more lightly on the planet.	Vacancy	Lumby
31	Winchester BID (Business Improvement District)	Winchester Business Improvement District (BID) operates within a defined geographical area, by which non- domestic ratepayers have voted to invest collectively in local improvements that are in addition to those that are already delivered by local statutory bodies.	Warwick	Warwick

2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. To maintain County Council representation on committees and bodies within the community.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. Not to make appointments, which would cease County Council representation.

4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.

7. Statement from the Decision Maker:		
Approved by:		
	Date: 29 July 2021	
Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment		

6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker: Executive Member for Highways Operations	
Date: 29 July 2021	
Title:	Active Travel Fund Tranche 2 Programme
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Andrew Kettlewell

Tel: Email: kndrew.kettlewell@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1 That the Executive Member for Highways Operations notes the significant progress that has been made on developing the programme of schemes for Tranche 2 of the Active Travel Fund and the findings of the recent public consultation events for each scheme.
- 1.2 That approval is given for detailed design work for schemes at: Aldershot Station, Brockenhurst, Basingstoke (Brighton Way), North Camp (Camp Road & Old Lynchford Road), Winchester, and Petersfield, (some elements of the original proposals) Gosport-Fareham BRT route improvements, Low Traffic Neighbourhoods, School Cycle Support, and A27 Continuous Footways, as detailed in the report, to ensure that the timescales of the funding allocation can be met.
- 1.3 That authority is delegated to the Director of Economy, Transport, and Environment to make the necessary arrangements to implement the Active Travel schemes including authority to procure and spend, enter into the necessary agreements in consultation with the Head of Legal Services, and secure any consents required.
- 1.4 That proposals for Romsey Town Centre are not progressed further at this time, given the limited impact this proposal had in promoting a greater proportion of journeys by Active Travel modes and the lack of support received through the public consultation.
- 1.5 That approval is given to remove the Emergency Active Travel schemes in Winchester following the additional traffic data collection previously agreed by the Executive Member for Highways Operations.
- 1.6 That approval is given in principle for the temporary closure of Great Minster Street in Winchester to support local businesses recovering from the impacts of lockdown, consistent with the Winchester Movement Strategy, and that authority is delegated to the Director of Economy, Transport, and Environment to work with Winchester City Council to make the necessary arrangements.

- 1.7 That the County Council continues to work with Winchester City Council to develop and implement a Winchester Movement Strategy to effectively support Active Travel Modes in the city centre.
- 1.8 That the County Council works with, and supports, East Hampshire District Council and Petersfield Town Council to develop a town centre 'Place Making' scheme, in addition to and complementing the smaller Tranche 2 Active Travel scheme.
- 1.9 That the County Council works with, and supports, Eastleigh Borough Council to develop a town centre 'Place Making' scheme as an alternative to the Tranche 2 Active Travel scheme
- 1.10 That the Executive Member for Highways Operations notes that there will be further work and consultation for an alternative Active Travel proposals for Stubbington.

2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1. To advise the Executive Member for Highways Operations of the consultation and engagement work undertaken as part of the Active Travel Fund programme and seek approval to undertake detailed design.
- 2.2. The decision will allow for the Active Travel Fund programme to proceed in line with funding requirements and to provide additional road space and facilities for walking and cycling at locations across Hampshire.
- 2.3. The decision is sought now to ensure the programme can be delivered in line with the Department for Transport's (DfT's) timescales for the funding to be spent.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. Not to proceed with the schemes. This was rejected as this would lose the opportunity to improve access for active travel modes across the County. In addition, the funding from the DfT would have to be paid back and the lack of delivery would potentially harm the success of future funding bids.

4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.

7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

xecutive Member for Highways Opera		July 2021
approved by:		ite:
I thank the Select Committee for scrumy Decision Day and also the deputa note that further work is ongoing arouthat in parts of Winchester and Roms	ations that came alor und place making an	ng to speak on this item.

Councillor Russell Oppenhein	ner
------------------------------	-----

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker: Executive Member for Highways Operations	
Date: 29 July 2021	
Title:	Bus Gate East Anton Andover
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: John Harvey

Tel: 03707795028 Email: John.harvey@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1. That the Executive Member for Highways Operations approves the installation of a Bus Gate at East Anton in accordance with the approved development, to be managed and enforced via an ANPR camera system.
- 1.2. That authority is delegated to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment to make the necessary arrangements, including confirmation of Traffic Regulation Orders and entering contractual and Legal agreements in consultation with the Head of Legal Services, to implement the scheme.

2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1. The proposed bus gate is a planning condition of the East Anton planning consent approved layout. The main spine road through the estate is designed to prohibit general traffic from passing through the middle section by the school, with access to this length restricted to buses and cyclists only.
- 2.2. The scheme will enable the completion of the infrastructure for this estate and establish the bus priority measures that will ensure the continued delivery of key services by the local operator.
- 2.3. The layout is consistent with East Anton planning consent and forms a vital part of the Traffic Impact assessment agreed at the time. The S106 Agreement supporting this consent includes an obligation to contribute towards a bus only restriction at this location.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. Keep the section closed to all traffic. The bus company will be unable to deliver a viable service which could lead to significant financial support being required by the council.

- 3.2. Open the section to all vehicles. This would be in contravention to the approved layout for the development and would create safety concerns outside the school and local shops. The development would not deliver the proposed bus priority objectives and would encourage more car use.
- 3.3. Rely on a signing only solution to implement the bus only restriction. This would not create an effective restriction and would not be enforced as a priority by Hampshire Police.
- 3.4. Implement a rising bollard solution. This was the original proposal envisaged at the design of the estate. However, due to reliability and maintenance concerns this was not implemented, with the ANPR solution developed instead.

4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: none.
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: none.
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	29 July 2021
Executive Member for Highways Operations Councillor Russell Oppenheimer	

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker: Executive Member for Highways Operations	
Date: 29 July 2021	
Title:	Project Appraisal: Eastleigh Area Bus Infrastructure Works
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Lisa Cook

Tel: 0370 779 7925 Email: Lisa.cook@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1 That the Executive Member for Highways Operations approves the Project Appraisal for the Eastleigh Area Public Transport Infrastructure Works as outlined in the supporting report subject to approval being granted to add the scheme to the Capital Programme.
- 1.2 That approval be given to spend and enter into contractual arrangements to implement the proposed improvements to the Eastleigh area public transport infrastructure as set out in the supporting report, at an estimated cost of £415,742 to be funded from Section 106 funding, held by the County Council for this purpose, as set out in the finance section of the main report.
- 1.3 That authority to make the arrangements to implement the scheme, including minor variations to the design, be delegated to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment.

2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1 Investment in public transport infrastructure is a key element of the Government's National Bus Strategy launched in March 2021.
- 2.2 Investment in public transport infrastructure makes bus services more attractive to passengers and therefore improves the commercial viability of bus services. This is key to the recovery of local bus services from the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1 Not to spend the section 106 funding. This option was rejected as it would not mitigate the impacts of the development as required by the S106 agreement and is therefore not in the best interests of local residents.

	rejected because it is not financially su	stainable in the medium to long term.
4.	Conflicts of interest:	
4.1	Conflicts of interest declared by the de-	cision-maker:
4.2	Conflicts of interest declared by other E	Executive Members consulted:
5.	5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.	
6.	6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.	
7.	7. Statement from the Decision Maker:	
Approve	ed by:	Date:
		29 July 2021
	Executive Member for Highways Operations Councillor Russell Oppenheimer	

3.2 To utilise the funding to provide public transport services. This option was

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker: Executive Member for Highways Operations	
Date: 29 July 2021	
Title:	Changes to the Traffic Management Permit Scheme
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Ian Ackerman

Tel: Email: lan.Ackerman@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1. That the Executive Member for Highways Operations gives approval to update the Hampshire County Permit Scheme (HCPS) to make minor amendments to the text and take account of changes in technology and processes since the document was originally written.
- 1.2. That authority is delegated to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment to make the legal orders, in consultation with the Head of Legal Services, for future amendments to the Hampshire County Permit Scheme in the absence of objections from statutory consultees.

2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. The HCPS document needs to keep pace with technology and refer to the latest processes and IT systems. In addition, following a recent review, some minor typographical edits and an inconsistency with the scheme model relating to scheme charges need correcting to ensure that the entirety of the scheme costs are recovered.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. Do nothing. Leaving the document as it is was considered and rejected because the HCPS document would become out of date and refer to practices and technology no-longer used. In addition, a proportion of the cost of the scheme could not be recovered through permit fees owing to a misalignment between the wording of the scheme document and the finance modelling for the scheme. This would necessitate a further review of the HCPS to determine other ways of recovering the costs.

4. Conflicts of interest:

4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:

5.	Dispensation granted by the Conduct Ad	visory Panel: none.
6.	Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with	if urgent: not applicable.
7.	Statement from the Decision Maker:	
Approv	ed by:	Date:
		29 July 2021
Executi Counci	ve Member for Highways Operations Ior Russell Oppenheimer	

4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker: Executive Member for Highways Operations	
Date: 29 July 2021	
Title:	Hampshire Highways – Service Update
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Tim Lawton

Tel: 01962 846753 Email: tim.lawton@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1. That the Executive Member for Highway Operations notes the current pressures being experienced in the delivery of highways maintenance services and approves the approach to managing and mitigating these impacts, including the urgent review and re-prioritisation of both planned and reactive maintenance programmes to focus on safety related works and to reflect reduced Government funding in 2021/22.
- 1.2. The approval is given for the development of a Highway Network Recovery Strategy for Hampshire to be reported back to the Executive Members later this year.

2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. To highlight to the Executive Member for Highway Operations the current challenges being experienced in the delivery of the County Council's highway service.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1 Not to approve the proposed approach. However, this option was rejected as it would mean failing to respond adequately to the current pressures and prioritising safety.

4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:

5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.

7. Statement from the Decision Maker:	
Approved by:	
	Date:
Executive Member for Highways Operations Councillor Russell Oppenheimer	29 July 2021
• •	

6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Member for Highway Operations	
Date:	29 July 2021	
Title:	Highway Policy Position to Support Business Recovery	
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment	

Contact name: John Harvey

Tel: Email: John.harvey@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1. That the Executive Member for Highway Operations approves the Highway Policy Position set out in the report to assist the district and borough councils in facilitating accelerated economic recovery in Hampshire's town centres and high streets.
- 1.2. That authority is delegated to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment, in consultation with the Executive Member for Highway Operations, to prepare a process and procedure, and to make arrangements to enable the implementation of the policy from 1 August 2021.
- 1.3. That the policy and arrangements be reviewed and reported back to the Executive Member at an appropriate time.

2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. To respond to the various Government provisions to support high streets in recovering from the Covid-19 Pandemic, and specifically to provide clarity on how applications under s171, and consultations under 115(e) of the Highways Act 1980 and Part 1 of the Business and Planning Act 2020 affecting the highway should be considered and supported, or refused as appropriate, during the recovery phase from the Covid-19 pandemic, and to allow the Director to review the arrangements for their suitability as the situation evolves.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1 Not to agree a Highways Policy Position to support Business Recovery. This option was rejected as it could lead to the unnecessary refusal of proposals that have marginal impact in highway terms but have wider economic benefits and would therefore be more favourably assessed under a revised policy with wider assessment criteria.

4.	Conflict	c of ir	toroct.
4. (Conflict	S OT II	iterest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: None
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:	
	29 July 2021	
Executive Member for Highways Operations Councillor Russell Oppenheimer		

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Member for Climate Change and Sustainability	
Date:	29 July 2021	
Title:	Environment Strategy	
Report From:	eport From: Director of Economy, Transport and Environment	

Contact name: Simon Cramp

Tel: 03707 794125 Email: simon.cramp@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1. That the Executive Member for Climate Change and Sustainability approves the preparation of an environment strategy for the County Council in consultation with key partners and organisations which will contribute to the County Council's climate change objectives.
- 1.2. That the proposed environment strategy should be prepared on the basis set out in the report and presented to the Executive Member for Climate Change and Sustainability at a future decision meeting for approval to adopt the strategy.

2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1. The County Council has long recognised that a healthy environment is vital in contributing to the long-term sustainability of the county. The outcomes of the Hampshire 2050 Commission of Inquiry and the County Council's Climate Change Strategy highlight this. The experience of the environment, or place, is also central to the newly launched The Hampshire Story.
- 2.2. The third strategic outcome of the County Council's proposed new Strategic Plan for 2021-2025 provides a strong alignment to the key corporate programmes relating to climate change and place shaping. Importantly, it includes a reference to striving for Environmental Net Gain defined by the Ministry for Housing, Communities and Local Government as the aim to reduce pressure on and achieve overall improvements in natural capital, ecosystem services and the benefits they deliver for people and nature.
- 2.3. Ensuring that the environment continues to thrive and prosper is also central to the vision of the County Council's Climate Change Strategy and the environment strategy will be key to embedding climate resilience and mitigation across key policies and sectors, working with communities across Hampshire.

- 3. Other options considered and rejected:
- 3.1. The options of not developing an Environment Strategy at this time, or of preparing separate natural and built environment strategies were rejected on the basis that this would not fulfil the need for the overarching, high level, strategy considered necessary to provide a consistent, coordinated and coherent framework for the County Council's activities or meet the challenge of the growing number of strategic environmental initiatives at the local, regional and national level.
- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: None
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	29 July 2021
Executive Member for Climate Change and Sustainability Councillor Jan Warwick	